

Applicant : Kamil, Zvi
 Serial No.: 10/025,646
 Filed : December 19, 2004

TC/A.U. : 2642
 Conf. No.: 8261
 Examiner : Agdeppa, Hector A.

For : TELEPHONE CALL RESTRICTOR AND
 RELATED METHOD OF PROGRAMMING

Mail Stop AF
 Commissioner for Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED
 NOV 01 2004
 Technology Center 2600

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application is a Response

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS

A fee for additional claims is not required.

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS	LARGE ENTITY RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS:	24	- 25	= 0	x \$18	= \$0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS:	4	- 4	= 0	x \$88	= \$0
TOTAL FEE DUE					\$ 0

The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any additional extension or other fee under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 which may be required by this paper or credit any overpayment of same to Deposit Account No. 503195.

Respectfully submitted,
 Attorney for Applicant

Date: October 26, 2004

By:

Dennis M. Flaherty
 Dennis M. Flaherty
 Reg. No. 31,159
 OSTRAGER CHONG FLAHERTY and
 BROITMAN P.C.
 250 Park Avenue, Suite 825
 New York, NY 10177-0899
 Tel. No. (212) 681-0600
CUSTOMER NO. 44702



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Zvi Kamil Confirmation No. : 8261
Serial No. : 10/025,646 TC/A.U. : 2642
Filed : December 19, 2001 Examiner : Agdeppa, Hector A.

For : TELEPHONE CALL RESTRICTOR AND
RELATED METHOD OF PROGRAMMING

RECEIVED

NOV 01 2004

Attorney Docket No.: AER-P-2
Customer No.: 44702

Technology Center 2600

Date: October 26, 2004

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Sir:

This communication is responsive to the Final Office Action ("Office Action") mailed July 28, 2004. Applicant submits the following arguments in traversal of the rejections set forth in the Office Action.

Argument

In ¶2 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1-6, 9, 10, 26, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,866,762 to *Pintar* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,014,435 to *Rosen*. The Applicant respectfully traverses this ground of rejection for the reasons set forth below.